



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/956,915	09/21/2001	Bernd Penth	212584US0XDIV	3796	
22850	7590 01/29/2004		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			FORTUNA, ANA M		
	IA, VA 22314	22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1723		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	• • •	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/956,915	5	PENTH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Ana M Fort	una	1723			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOns ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even on. , a reply within the statut period will apply and will statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	_						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ -	This action is nor	n-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>92-156</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>121-156</u> is/are we Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>92-120</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	vithdrawn from co					
	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12)							
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8)		PTO-413) Paper No(s)atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/956,915

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claim 92 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 62 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,309,545. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 3. Claims 912-112, 115-120 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,309,545. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the filter of claims 1-2 includes all the limitations of claim 92 of the present invention except for the thickness, which is further suggested in claim 62. The membrane is made for the same exact composition and process, therefore the membrane is inherently gas separating or gas filter, or the product is the same independently of its intended use. Claims 93-109 correspond to limitations of claims 2, 4, 5, 12-14, 16-18, 20, 55, 22, 24-26 respectively. Claims 1112 correspond to claim 31, and claims 115-120 correspond with the limitations of claims 18 and 55. The limitations of claims 110-111 can be considered to be inherent, of the product of claims 25-26.

Art Unit: 1723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 92-120 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 92, include the terms "comprises essentially", the term renders the claim unclear as to "consisting essentially of" is intended. Claim 103, lines 1-3 containing redundant limitations, which are included in claims 92. The claim is unclear as to whether the transition groups II or VIII, should refer to transition groups III to VII, since claim 92 is only limited to elements of groups III to VII.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana M Fortuna whose telephone number is (571) 272-1141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

> Ana M Fortuna Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723

Application/Control Number: 09/956,915

Art Unit: 1723

ÁMÉ Jánuary 23, 2004 Page 4